

Before the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**RECEIVED**

SEP 8 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matters of

Deployment of Wireline Services Offering  
Advanced Telecommunications Capability,  
et al.

CC Docket Nos. 98-147, 98-11,  
98-26, 98-32, 98-15, 98-78, 98-91,  
and CCB/CPD No. 98-15 RM 9244

**PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF  
SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.,  
SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,  
PACIFIC BELL, AND NEVADA BELL**

SBC Communications Inc., Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell, and Nevada Bell hereby seek reconsideration of two issues decided in the Commission's recent Memorandum Opinion and Order in these dockets (the "Advanced Services Order").<sup>1</sup>

First, the Commission should immediately reconsider its determination that incumbent LECs must alter their networks by "conditioning" loops at the request of new entrants. That

---

<sup>1</sup>Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, Petition of Bell Atlantic Corp. for Relief from Barriers to Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Services, Petition of U S WEST Communications, Inc. for Relief from Barriers to Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Services, Petition of Ameritech Corp. to Remove Barriers to Investment in Advanced Telecommunications Technology, Petition of the Alliance for Public Technology Requesting Issuance of Notice of Inquiry and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Implement Section 706 of the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Petition of the Ass'n for Local Telecommunications Services for a Declaratory Ruling Establishing Conditions Necessary to Promote Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Capability Under Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell, and Nevada Bell Petition for Relief from Regulation Pursuant to Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and 47 U.S.C. § 160 for ADSL Infrastructure and Service, FCC 98-188, CC Dkt Nos. 98-147, 98-11, 98-26, 98-32, 98-15, 98-78, 98-91 and CCB/CPD No. 98-15 RM 9244 (rel. Aug. 7, 1998).

requirement is flatly inconsistent with the Eighth Circuit's decision in Iowa Utilities Board v. FCC, 120 F.3d 753 (8th Cir. 1997), cert. granted on other grounds, 118 S. Ct. 879 (1998). In its Local Competition Order, the Commission imposed on incumbent LECs an obligation to provide their competitors, upon request, access to network elements superior in quality to what the incumbent provides to itself. See First Report and Order, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 11 FCC Rcd 15499, 15659 [¶ 314] (1996) ("Local Competition Order"). The Commission pointed specifically to loop conditioning as the prime example of its superior-quality requirement. Id. at n.680. On review, the Eighth Circuit squarely held that the 1996 Act does not permit the Commission to mandate such superior-quality access. Iowa Utilities Board, 120 F.3d at 813. In light of the Eighth Circuit's unambiguous ruling — a ruling that the Commission has not challenged in the pending Supreme Court case — the agency's attempt here to reimpose a loop-conditioning requirement is patently unlawful. The Commission should rescind it and do so promptly.

Second, the Commission should also reconsider its conclusion that section 706 provides the FCC with no independent authority to forbear from applying the Act's requirements on incumbent LECs. The Commission's understanding of section 706 is at odds both with the statutory structure and with Congress's objective that advanced telecommunications capability be rapidly made available to all Americans.

**I. THE LOOP-CONDITIONING REQUIREMENT VIOLATES THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT'S MANDATE AND MUST BE RESCINDED.**

The loop-conditioning requirements contained in the Advanced Services Order squarely conflict with the Eighth Circuit's holding in Iowa Utilities Board that the Commission lacks

authority to impose superior-quality requirements. Neither the Commission nor any other party sought review of that holding in its petition for certiorari, and, even if such review had been sought, that still would provide no basis for the Commission to ignore the square holding of the Court of Appeals. The Commission may not “disregard . . . the existing mandate of a federal court in a case in which the agency was a party litigant.” Iowa Utilities Bd. v. FCC, 135 F.3d 535, 540 (8th Cir.) (granting petition to enforce the Court’s prior mandate in light of FCC’s assertion of pricing jurisdiction under section 271), petition for cert. filed, 66 U.S.L.W. 3623 (1998). Accordingly, it has no proper alternative other than to vacate the Advanced Services Order insofar as it purports to require incumbent LECs to condition their loops for the benefit of requesting carriers.

Paragraph 53 of the Advanced Services Order states that incumbents must take “affirmative steps” to “condition” their local loops so that an entrant may provide advanced services over the loops. For instance, if “a carrier requests an unbundled loop . . . free of loading coils, bridged taps, and other electronic impediments, the incumbent must condition the loop to those specifications, subject only to considerations of technical feasibility.” Advanced Services Order ¶ 53. “The incumbent may not deny such a request on the ground that it does not itself offer advanced services over the loop.” Id.

The Advanced Services Order’s conclusion on this issue tracks the Commission’s earlier conclusion in its Local Competition Order. See 11 FCC Rcd at 15691-92 [¶¶ 380-382]. Indeed, the relevant portion of the Advanced Services Order cites and quotes heavily from the earlier order. See Advanced Services Order ¶ 53. And the Local Competition Order made entirely clear that the loop-conditioning requirement was a subspecies of the Commission’s broader

requirement that an incumbent LEC provide their competitors, upon request, with access to network elements that are higher in quality than what the LEC provides to itself. See 11 FCC Rcd at 15659 [¶ 314].

Indeed, the Commission specifically singled out loop conditioning as a paradigmatic illustration of its superior-quality requirement. The Local Competition Order offered, as an “example” of the superior-quality requirement, an incumbent LEC’s obligation to “provide local loops conditioned to enable the provision of digital services (where technically feasible) even if the incumbent does not itself provide such digital services.” Id. at 15659 n.680 (emphasis added).

On review of the Local Competition Order, the Eighth Circuit held that the Commission lacks authority to impose such superior-quality obligations. See Iowa Utilities Bd., 120 F.3d at 813. The Court of Appeals explained that “subsection 251(c)(3) implicitly requires unbundled access only to an incumbent LEC’s existing network — not to a yet unbuilt superior one.” Id. Section 251(c)(3) “does not mandate that incumbent LECs cater to every desire of every requesting carrier,” even if the incumbents will be “compensated for the additional cost involved in providing superior quality interconnection and unbundled access.” Id. Relying on this analysis, the Court of Appeals vacated the specific Commission rule (47 C.F.R. § 51.311(c)) that purported to require incumbents to provide such superior access to network elements upon request. See 120 F.3d at 819 n.39.

In light of the Eighth Circuit’s holding, there can be no serious dispute that the loop-conditioning portion of the Advanced Services Order must be reconsidered and rescinded. The dispositive points here are both simple and irrefutable: (1) the Eighth Circuit has held that the

Commission may not impose superior-quality obligations, and (2) the Commission itself frankly and unequivocally stated (when it believed it possessed the authority to impose such duties) that loop conditioning is an aspect of the subsequently invalidated superior-quality requirement. That should be the end of the matter. “After a court has spoken, the FCC is bound to follow that court’s mandate.” Iowa Utilities Bd., 135 F.3d at 540.

Although the facts discussed above are determinative here, we note briefly that, even without the Commission’s own statements conceding the point, it is quite evident that the loop-conditioning obligations contained in the Advanced Services Order do, in fact, require incumbents to provide new entrants with superior-quality access to network elements. As the Advanced Services Order itself makes plain, these conditioning obligations require incumbents to improve their facilities so that they can be used to provide services that the incumbents do not currently provide over those facilities. In particular, the Commission has specifically required each incumbent, at the request of a competitor, to take “affirmative steps” to improve its loops so that those loops may be used to provide advanced services even if the incumbent “does not itself offer advanced services over the loop.” Advanced Services Order ¶ 53. Put differently, the incumbents must create a “yet unbuilt superior” network that supports new services to be provided by the incumbent’s competitors. Iowa Utilities Board, 120 F.3d at 813. That is precisely what the Eighth Circuit has held the Commission may not require.

## **II. THE ADVANCED SERVICES ORDER MISAPPREHENDS THE SCOPE OF THE COMMISSION’S SECTION 706 FORBEARANCE AUTHORITY**

The Advanced Services Order concludes that section 706 contains no independent grant of forbearance authority, but merely authorizes the Commission to use forbearance authority

granted in other sections of the Act. Advanced Services Order ¶ 69. To reach this conclusion, the Commission reasoned that any other construction would “eviscerate” the forbearance exclusions set forth in section 10(d). Id. ¶ 73. Accordingly, the Commission decided that section 706(a) simply gives it “an affirmative obligation to encourage the deployment of advanced services, relying on [its] authority established elsewhere in the Act.” Id. ¶ 74.

The Commission’s ruling reflects a fundamental misunderstanding of sections 10 and 706. Section 10(a) directs the Commission to forbear from regulating a telecommunications carrier or service if the Commission, applying a three-part test, determines that such regulation is no longer necessary to protect consumers. 47 U.S.C. § 160(a)(1)-(3). Section 10(d) limits the ability of the Commission to forbear from exercising this section 10(a) forbearance authority, stating:

Except as provided in section 251(f) of this title, the Commission may not forbear from applying the requirements of section 251(c) or 271 of this title under subsection (a) of this section until it determines that those requirements have been fully implemented.

47 U.S.C. § 160(d) (emphasis added). Thus, section 10(d), by its plain terms, limits only the Commission’s ability to exercise its forbearance authority under section 10(a). It nowhere restricts the Commission’s exercise of forbearance authority under any other section of the statute, including section 706, and it therefore provides no basis for the conclusion that section 706 is not an independent grant of forbearance authority.

The Commission’s Advanced Services Order neglects to explain how, given the express limitation of section 10(d)’s exclusions to “subsection (a) of this section,” it is possible for section 10(d)’s forbearance exclusions to extend to section 706. Indeed, without explanation, the

Commission has entirely read section 10(d)'s restricting language out of the provision, in violation of the black-letter principle that "a statute should be construed so as to give effect to each of its provisions." See, e.g., First Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Implementation of Non-Accounting Safeguards of Sections 271 and 272 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 11 FCC Rcd 21905, 21981 [¶ 156] (1996). At the very least, the Commission must explain how it reached the conclusion it did, in light of the statute's plain language to the contrary.

In addition, the Commission's conclusion that the sole effect of section 706 is to give the agency an "affirmative obligation to encourage the deployment of advanced services," Advanced Services Order ¶ 74, essentially guts the forbearance obligations of section 706(a) of any meaning. Even without section 706, the 1996 Act requires the Commission to promote the deployment of advanced telecommunications technologies — indeed, implementation of this policy is one of the Act's principal objectives. See, e.g., Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) (stating that the purpose of the 1996 Act is to "promote competition and reduce regulation in order to secure lower prices and higher quality services for American telecommunications consumers and encourage the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies") (emphasis added). Congress thus had no need to enact section 706 simply to articulate a preference for the speedy deployment of an advanced telecommunications infrastructure. Again, by reading section 706 in a way that renders it redundant of other statutory provisions, the Commission has run afoul of a fundamental canon of statutory construction.

Not only is the Commission's interpretation of section 706 at odds with the structure of the statute, but also it fails to further Congress's pro-competitive policy objectives. The

Commission simply assumes — without even a sentence of analysis — that subjecting incumbent carriers' deployment of advanced services to the requirements of sections 251(c) and 271 will further the goal of opening the advanced services market to competition. See Advanced Services Order ¶ 76. But Congress designed sections 251(c) and 271 specifically to open to competition the markets for conventional local exchange service. Certainly, from the face of the statute, it is far from apparent that regulation intended to make these established markets competitive should automatically apply to the very different and emerging market for advanced services. Indeed, as numerous parties showed in their petitions and comments, imposing burdensome unbundling, resale, and separate-affiliate requirements on incumbent carriers' provision of advanced services will deter broadband deployment. See, e.g., Petition of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell & Nevada Bell, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell & Nevada Bell Petition for Relief from Regulation Pursuant to Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and 47 U.S.C. § 160 for ADSL Infrastructure and Service, 26-30; Bell Atlantic Reply Comments, Petition of Bell Atlantic Corp. for Relief from Barriers to Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Service, Petition of U S WEST for Relief from Barriers to Deployment of Advanced Telecommunications Services, Petition of Ameritech Corp. to Remove Barriers to Investment in Advanced Telecommunications Technology, CC Dkt Nos. 98-11, 98-26, 98-32 at 24-25. The Commission must at least respond to these showings.

Section 706 imposes on the Commission an obligation to promote the deployment of advanced telecommunications services to all Americans, an obligation that is plainly distinct from section 10's mandate that the FCC forbear from enforcing regulation that is no longer necessary to protect consumers. To achieve its objective, section 706 directs the FCC to forbear



from imposing the requirements of the Act — including those set forth in sections 251(c) and 271 — on incumbent local exchange carriers, if such forbearance will encourage the development of broadband capabilities. The Commission's contrary interpretation is not supported by the 1996 Act, nor does it advance section 706's basic objective of making advanced telecommunications rapidly and widely available.


Accordingly, the Commission should reconsider both its determination that section 706 contains no separate grant of forbearance authority and its accompanying denial of petitioners' request for regulatory forbearance in this proceeding.

### CONCLUSION

The Commission should (1) reconsider and vacate its order insofar as it imposes loop-conditioning obligations on incumbent LECs, and (2) reconsider its order insofar as it denies the petitions of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell, and Nevada Bell for relief from regulation pursuant to section 706.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark L. Evans  
Sean A. Lev  
Rebecca A. Beynon  
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN,  
TODD & EVANS  
1301 K Street, N.W.  
Suite 1000 West  
Washington, D.C. 20005

  
James D. Ellis  
Robert M. Lynch  
Durward D. Dupre  
Darryl W. Howard  
One Bell Center  
Room 3528  
St. Louis, MO 63101

*Counsel for SBC Communications Inc.,  
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,  
Pacific Bell, and Nevada Bell*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of September, 1998, I caused a copy of the Petition for Reconsideration of SBC Communications Inc., Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, Pacific Bell, and Nevada Bell, to be served on the individuals on the attached service list by first-class mail.

Darryl W. Howard / jw  
Darryl W. Howard

ITS INC  
1231 20TH STREET  
GROUND FLOOR  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

RICHARD TARANTO  
FARR & TARANTO  
BELL ATLANTIC  
1850 M STREET NW  
SUITE 1000  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

ROBERT B MCKENNA  
JEFFRY A BRUEGGEMAN  
U S WEST INC  
1020 19TH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

PIPER & MARBURY LLP  
RONALD L PLESSER  
MARK J O'CONNOR  
STUART P INGIS  
COUNSEL FOR COMMERCIAL INTERNET  
EXCHANGE ASSOCIATION  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
1200 NINETEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

BARTLETT L THOMAS  
JAMES J VALENTINO  
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS  
GLOVSKY AND POPEO  
COUNSEL FOR XCOM TECHNOLOGIES INC  
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW STE 900  
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2608

JAMES R YOUNG  
EDWARD D YOUNG III  
MICHAEL E GLOVER  
BELL ATLANTIC  
1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD  
8TH FLOOR  
ARLINGTON VA 22201

JOHN T LENAHA  
CHRISTOPHER HEIMANN  
FRANK MICHAEL PANEK  
GARY PHILLIPS  
AMERITECH  
2000 WEST AMERITECH CENTER DR  
ROOM 4H84  
HOFFMAN ESTATES IL 60196-1025

JANICE M MYLES  
COMMON CARRIER BUREAU  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M ST NW ROOM 544  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

CHARLES C HUNTER  
HUNTER COMMUNICATIONS LAW GROUP  
COUNSEL FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
RESELLERS ASSOCIATION  
1620 I STREET NW STE 701  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

JONATHAN E CANIS  
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP  
COUNSEL FOR INTERMEDIA  
COMMUNICATIONS INC & EXCEL  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC  
1200 19TH ST NW STE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20544

CHRISTOPHER W SAVAGE  
JAMES F IRELAND  
COLE RAYWID & BRAVERMAN LLP  
COUNSEL FOR APK NET LTD CYBER WARRIOR  
HELICON ONLINE INFORAMP INTERNET  
CONNECT COMPANY MTP LLC DBA JAVANET  
& PROAXIS COMMUNICATIONS  
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW STE 200  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

JENNER & BLOCK  
ANTHONY C EPSTEIN  
COUNSEL FOR MCI TELECOMM CORP  
601 THIRTEENTH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

JONATHAN JACOB NADLER  
SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMSEY  
COUNSEL FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA  
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
BOX 407  
WASHINGTON DC 20044

HENRY GELLER  
ALLIANCE FOR PUBLIC TECHNOLOGY  
901 15TH ST NW STE 230  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COMMISSIONS  
FOR WOMEN  
1828 L STREET NW STE 250  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

KECIA BONEY  
DALE DIXON  
LISA SMITH  
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION  
1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

MCI COMMUNICATIONS  
KEVIN SIEVERT  
GLEN GROCHOWSKI  
LOCAL NETWORK TECHNOLOGY  
400 INTERNATIONAL PARKWAY  
RICHARDSON TX 75081

LEON M KESTENBAUM  
JAY C KEITHLEY  
SPRINT CORPORATION  
1850 M STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

UNITED HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION  
1511 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NATIONAL HISPANIC COUNCIL ON AGING  
2713 ONTARIO ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20009

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS  
444 NORTH CAPITOL ST NW STE 630  
WASHINGTON DC 20001

WORLD INSTITUTE ON DISABILITY  
510 16TH ST STE 100  
OAKLAND CA 94612

PETER ROHRBACH  
LINDA L OLIVER  
DAVID L SIERADZKI  
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP  
COUNSEL FOR LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM  
CORP  
COLUMBLA SQUARE  
555 THIRTEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

ANNE K BINGAMAN  
DOUGLAS W KINKOPH  
BOB MATHEW  
LCI INTERNATIONAL CORP  
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800  
MCLEAN VA 22102

UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION  
LINDA KENT  
KEITH TOWNSEND  
1401 H STREET NW STE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

TERRENCE K FERGUSON  
SR VP AND GENERAL COUNSEL  
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS INC  
3555 FARNAM STREET  
OMAHA NE 68131

GAIL L POLIVY  
GTE SERVICE CORPORATION  
1850 M STREET NW  
SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

RUSSELL M BLAU  
RICHARD M RINDLER  
SWIDLER & BERLIN CHTD  
COUNSEL FOR FOCAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP  
HYPERION TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC  
KMC TELECOM INC AND MCLEODUSA INC  
3000 K ST NW STE 300  
WASHINGTON DC 20007

COLLEEN BOOTHBY  
LEVIN BLASZAK BLOCK AND  
BOOTHBY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR THE INTERNET ACCESS  
COALITION  
2001 L STREET NW STE 900  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

DAVID N PORTER  
WORLD COM INC  
1120 CONNECTICUT AVE NW  
STE 400  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

RANDALL B LOWE  
PIPER & MARBURY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR  
TRANSWIRE COMMUNICATIONS LLC  
1200 NINETEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC

THOMAS M KOUTSKY  
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL  
COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY  
35670 BASSETT STREET  
SANTA CLARA CA 95054

GENEVIEVE MORELLI  
EXECUTIVE VP AND GENERAL COUNSEL  
THE COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
ASSOCIATION  
1900 M STREET NW STE 800  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

MARK C ROSENBLUM  
AVA B KLEINMAN  
AT&T CORP  
295 NORTH MAPLE AVENUE  
ROOM 3252J1  
BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920

RICHARD D MARKS ESQ  
VINSON & ELKINS LLP  
COUNSEL FOR COMPUTER &  
COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY  
ASSOCIATION  
1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20004-1008

M ROBERT SUTHERLAND  
BELLSOUTH CORPORATION  
1155 PEACHTREE ST NE  
ATLANTA GA 30309-3610

J MANNING LEE  
VICE PRESIDENT REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC  
TWO TELEPORT DRIVE  
STATEN ISLAND NY 10311

GEORGE VRADENBURG III  
AMERICA ONLINE INC  
1101 CONNECTICUT AVE NW  
STE 400  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CHERYL L PARRINO  
CHAIRMAN  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN  
P O BOX 7854  
MADISON WI 53707-7854

G RICHARD KLEIN  
COMMISSIONER  
INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
302 W WASHINGTON STE E-306  
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

JEFFREY A CAMPBELL  
STACEY STERN ALBERT  
COMPAQ COMPUTER CORPORATION  
1300 I STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MARK J TAUBER  
TERESA S WERNER  
PIPER & MARBURY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR OMNIPOINT COMMUNICATIONS  
INC  
1200 19TH ST NW SEVENTH FLOOR  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

RILEY M MURPHY  
AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES INC  
131 NATIONAL BUSINESS PARKWAY  
STE 100  
ANNAPOLIS JUNCTION MD 20701

STEVEN GOROSH  
VICE PRESIDENT & GENERAL COUNSEL  
NORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS INC  
222 SUTTER STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108

JEFFREY BLUMENFELD  
CHRISTY KUNIN  
BLUMENFELD & COHEN  
COUNSEL FOR RHYTHMS NETCONNECTIONS  
INC  
1615 M STREET NW STE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CEDAR CITY/IRON COUNTY ECONOMIC DEV  
110 N MAIN ST5REET  
P O BOX 249  
CEDAR CITY UTAH 84720

MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO  
HOWARD J SYMONS  
MICHELLE M MUNDT  
COUNSEL FOR NEXTLINK COMMUNICATIONS INC  
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
SUITE 900  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

NEXTLINK COMMUNICATIONS INC  
R GERARD SALEMME  
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND  
INDUSTRY RELATIONS  
DANIEL GONZALEZ  
DIRECTOR REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
1730 RHODE ISLAND AVE NW  
SUITE 1000  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

GORDON M AMBACH  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
COUNCIL OF CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICERS  
ONE MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON DC

HALPRIN TEMPLE GOODMAN & SUGRUE  
THOMAS J SUGRUE  
COUNSEL FOR NYSERNET  
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW  
SUITE 650 EAST  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

NYSERNET INC  
DR DAVID LYTEL  
125 ELWOOD DAVIS ROAD  
SYRACUSE NY 13212

BLUMENFELD & COHEN  
JEFFREY BLUMENFELD  
CHRISTY C KUNIN  
MICHAEL D SPECHT  
ACCESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS ALLIANCE  
1615 M STREET NW SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

STEVEN GOROSH  
VICE PRESIDENT & GENERAL COUNSEL  
NORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS INC  
222 SUTTER STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108

COLE RAYWID & BRAVERMAN LLP  
CHRISTOPHER W SAVAGE  
JAMES F IRELAND  
KARLYN D STANLEY  
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
SUITE 200  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

JOSEPH W WAZ JR  
VICE PRESIDENT EXTERNAL AFFAIRS &  
PUBLIC POLICY COUNSEL  
COMCAST CORPORATION  
1500 MARKET STREET  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19102

JAMES R COLTHARP  
SENIOR DIRECTOR PUBLIC POLICY  
COMCAST CORPORATION  
1317 F STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

CHARLES D GRAY  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
NARUC  
1100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE STE 608  
P O BOX 684  
WASHINGTON DC 20044

ALBERT H KRAMER  
MICHAEL CAROWITZ  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &  
OSHINSKY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR ICG TELECOM GROUP INC  
2101 L STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526

D ROBERT WEBSTER  
BAMBERGER & FEIBLEMAN  
COUNSEL FOR THE NATIONAL BLACK  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE  
54 MONUMENT CIRCLE STE 600  
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

CHAPIN BURKS  
PRESIDENT  
ST GEORGE AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE  
97 EAST ST GEORGE BLVD  
ST GEORGE UTAH 84770



JOEL BERNSTEIN  
HALPRIN TEMPLE GOODMAN &  
SUGRUE  
COUNSEL FOR NEXT LEVEL COMMUNICATIONS  
1100 NEW YORK AVE NW  
SUITE 650 EAST  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

C BENNETT LEWIS  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
AURORA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE  
3131 SOUTH VAUGHNWAY STE 426  
AURORA CO 80014

CHRISTOPHER J WHITE  
DEPUTY ASSISTANT RATEPAYER ADVOCATE  
THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DIVISION OF THE RATEPAYER ADVOCATE  
31 CLINTON STREET 11 FLOOR  
NEWARK NJ 07101

JEFFREY BLUMENFELD  
CHRISTY KUNIN  
BLUMENFELD & COHEN  
1615 M STREET NW STE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JOHN HANES  
CHAIRMAN  
HOUSE CORPORATION  
WYOMING STATE LEGISLATURE  
213 STATE CAPITOL  
CHEYENNE WY 82008

THOMAS GANN  
MANAGER FEDERAL AFFAIRS  
SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC  
1300 I STREET NW STE 420 EAST  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

CHERIE R KISER  
MICHAEL B BRESSMAN  
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS  
GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC  
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
STE 900  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

ROBERT D BOYSEH  
PRESIDENT  
LARAMIE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORP  
1482 COMMERCE DRIVE STE A  
LARAMIE WY 82070

JACK CREWS  
CHEYENNE LEADS  
1720 CAREY AVENUE STE 401  
P O BOX 1045  
CHEYENNE WY 82003-1045

KAREN PELTZ STRAUSS  
LEGAL COUNSEL FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
POLICY  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE DEAF  
814 THAYER AVE  
SILVER SPRING MD 20910-4500

RODNEY L JOYCE  
J THOMAS NOLAN  
SHOOK HARDY & BACON  
COUNSEL FOR NETWORK ACCESS SOLUTIONS  
INC  
801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2615

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF DEVELOPMENT  
ORGANIZATIONS  
444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET NW STE 630  
WASHINGTON DC 20001

JEFFREY BLUMENFED  
CHRISTY KUNIN  
COUNSEL FOR DSL ACCESS  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ALLIANCE  
1615 M STREET NW STE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

SCOTT TRUMAN  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
UTAH RURAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL  
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 304  
SOUTHERN UTAH UNIVERSITY  
CEDAR CITY UT 84720

RONALD L PLESSER  
PIPER & MARBURY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR PSINET  
1200 NINETEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

THOMAS J DUNLEAVY  
NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE  
THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA  
ALBANY NY 12223-1350

A DANIEL SCHEINMAN  
LAURA K IPSEN  
CISCO SYSTEMS INC  
170 WEST TASMAN DRIVE  
SAN JOSE CA 95134-1706

GERALD STEVENS-KITTNER  
CAI WIRELESS SYSTEMS INC  
2101 WILSON BOULEVARD STE 100  
ARLINGTON VA 22201

JOHN WINDHAUSEN JR  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
COMPETITION POLICY INSTITUTE  
1156 15TH ST NW STE 310  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

WILLIAM J ROONEY JR  
GLOBAL NAPS INC  
TEN WINTHROP SQUARE  
BOSTON MA 02110

RUSSELL STAIGER  
BISMARCK/MANDAN DEVELOPMENT ASSN  
400 E BROADWAY AVE STE 417  
BISMARCK ND 58502

J JEFFREY OXLEY  
MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC  
SERVICE  
1200 NCL TOWER  
445 MINNESOTA STREET  
ST PAUL MN 55101-2130

JOSEPH K WITMER  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
P O BOX 3265  
COMMONWEALTH AVE & NORTH  
ROOM 116  
HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

THOMAS HATCH  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
STATE OF UTAH  
P O BOX 391  
PANGUITCH UT 84759

ISSUE DYNAMICS INC  
901 15TH STREET STE 230  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

ECONOMIC STRATEGY INSTITUTE  
1401 H STREET NW  
SUITE 750  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

ELLEN DEUTSCH  
SENIOR COUNSEL  
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE INC  
8100 NE PARKWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
VANCOUVER WA 98662

ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE INC  
LEGAL COUNSEL  
4400 77TH AVE  
VANCOUVER WA 98662

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY  
ACTION AGENCIES  
1100 17TH ST NW STE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

GENE VUCKOVICH  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
MONTANA RURAL DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS  
115 E SEVENTH STREET SUITE 2A  
ANACONDA MT 59711

ITS INC.  
1231 20TH STREET NW  
GROUND FLOOR  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JANICE M MYLES  
COMMON CARRIER BUREAU  
FCC  
1919 M STREET NW RM 544  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

WILLIAM E KENNARD  
CHAIRMAN  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M STREET NW ROOM 814  
WASHINGTON DC 20

SUSAN NESS  
COMMISSIONER  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M STREET NEW ROOM 832  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

HAROLD FURCHGOTT-ROTH  
COMMISSIONER  
1919 M ST NW ROOM 802  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

MICHAEL K POWELL  
COMMISSIONER  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M STREET NW ROOM 844  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

GLORIA TRISTANI  
COMMISSIONER  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M ST NW ROOM 826  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

MARK C ROSENBLUM  
AT&T CORP  
295 NORTH MAPLE AVE  
ROOM 5460C2  
BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920

J MANNING LEE  
TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC  
TWO TELEPORT DRIVE STE 300  
STATEN ISLAND NY 10311

GENEVIEVE MORELLI  
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT  
AND GENERAL COUNSEL  
COMPETTIVE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION  
1900 M STREET NW SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

GENEVIEVE MORELLI  
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT  
AND GENERAL COUNSEL  
COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
ASSOCIATION  
1900 M STREET NW SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

RILEY M MURPHY  
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL  
E.SPRIRE COMMUNICATIONS INC  
131 NATIONAL BUSINESS PARKWAY  
SUITE 100  
ANNAPOLIS JUNCTION MD 20701

BRAD E MUTSCHELKNAUS  
MARIEANN Z MACHIDA  
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP  
1200 19TH STREET NW  
SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CATHERINE R SLOAN  
RICHARD L FRUCHTERMAN III  
RICHARD S WHITT  
WORLDCOM INC  
1120 CONNECTICUT AVE NW  
SUITE 400  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

BARBARA A DOOLEY  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
COMMERCIAL INTERNET eXchange ASSOC  
1041 STERLING ROAD  
SUITE 104A  
HERNDON VA 20170

JONATHAN E CANIS  
ERIN M REILLY  
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP  
COUNSEL FOR INTERMEDIA  
COMMUNICATIONS INC  
1200 19TH ST NW STE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

KEITH TOWNSEND  
UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION  
1401 H STREET NW STE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

ROBERT W MCCAUSLAND  
VICE PRESIDENT REGULATORY AND  
INTERCONNECTION ALLEGIANCE TELECOM  
1950 STEMMONS FREEWAY STE 3026  
DALLAS TX 75207-3118

STEVEN GOROSH  
VICE PRESIDENT & GENERAL COUNSEL  
NORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS INC  
222 SUTTER STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108

KEVIN TIMPANE  
VICE PRESIDENT PUBLIC POLICY  
FIRSTWORLD COMMUNICATIONS INC  
9333 GENESSEE AVENUE STE 200  
SAN DIEGO CA 92121

JEFFREY BLUMENFELD  
CHRISTY C KUNIN  
COUNSEL FOR RHYTHMS  
NETCONNECTIONS INC  
1615 M STREET NW STE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

ANNE K BINGAMAN  
DOUGLAS W KINKOPH  
LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM CORP  
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800  
MCLEAN VA 22102

GAIL L POLIVY  
GTE SERVICE CORPORATION  
1850 M STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

LEON M KENSTENBAUM  
JAY C KEITHLEY  
SPRINT CORPORATION  
1850 M STREET NW 11TH FLOOR  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CINDY Z SCHONHAUT  
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF GOVERNMENT  
AFFAIRS & EXTERNAL AFFAIRS  
ICG COMMUNICATIONS INC  
161 INVERNESS DRIVE  
ENGLEWOOD CO 80112

PETER A ROHRBACH  
LINDA L OLIVER  
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP  
COUNSEL FOR LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM  
CORP  
555 THIRTEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

DAVID J NEWBURGER  
NEWBURGER & VOSSMEYER  
COUNSEL FOR CAMPAIGN FOR  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS  
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE  
SUITE 2400  
ST LOUIS MO 63102

CHARLES C HUNTER  
CATHERINE M HANNAN  
HUNTER COMMUNICATIONS LAW GROUP  
COUNSEL FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
RESELLERS ASSOCIATION  
1620 I STREET NW STE 701  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

ALBERT H KRAMER  
MICHAEL CAROWITZ  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &  
OSHINSKY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR ICG TELECOM GROUP INC  
2101 L STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526

KECIA BONEY  
DALE DIXON  
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP  
1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

ANTHONY C EPSTEIN  
JENNER & BLOCK  
601 THIRTEENTH STREET  
12TH FLOOR SOUTH  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

KEVIN SIEVERT  
GLEN GROCHOWSKI  
MCI COMMUNICATIONS  
LOCAL NETWORK TECHNOLOGY  
400 INTERNATIONAL PKWY  
RICHARDSON TX 75081

W SCOTT MCCOLLOUGH  
MCCOLLOUGH AND ASSOCIATES PC  
1801 NORTH LAMAR STE 104  
AUSTIN TX 78701

DANA FRIX  
KEMAL M HAWA  
SWIDLER & BERLIN CHTD  
COUNSEL FOR HYPERION  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC  
3000 K STREET NW STE 300  
WASHINGTON DC 20007-5116

RUSSELL M BLAU  
SWIDLER & BERLIN CHTD  
COUNSEL FOR KMC TELECOM INC  
3000 K STREET NW STE 300  
WASHINGTON DC 20007

STEVEN M HOFFER  
COALITION REPRESENTING INTERNET  
SERVICE PROVIDERS  
95 MARINER GREEN DR  
CORTE MADERA CA 94925

M ROBERT SUTHERLAND  
STEPHEN L EARNEST  
BELLSOUTH CORPORATION  
1155 PEACHTREE ST NE  
STE 1700  
ATLANTA GA 30309-3610

THOMAS M KOUTSKY  
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL  
COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY  
6849 OLD DOMINION DRIVE SUITE 220  
MCLEAN VA 22101

FRANK MICHAEL PANEK  
AMERITECH  
2000 W AMERITECH CENTER DRIVE  
ROOM 4H84  
HOFFMAN ESTATES IL 60196

LAWRENCE G MALONE  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF  
PUBLIC SERVICE  
THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA  
ALBANY NY 12223-1350

L MARIE GUILLORY  
NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE  
ASSOCIATION  
2626 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037

CHRISTOPER W SAVAGE  
COLE RAYWID & BRAVERMAN  
COUNSEL FOR COMCAST CORPORATION  
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW STE 200  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

RILEY M MURPHY  
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL  
E.SPIRE COMMUNICATIONS INC  
131 NATIONAL BUSINESS PARKWAY  
SUITE 100  
ANNAPOLIS JUNCTION MD 20701

ROBERT W MCCAUSLAND  
VICE PRESIDENT REGULATORY AND  
INTERCONNECTION  
ALLEGIANCE TELECOM INC  
1950 STEMMON FREEWAY STE 3026  
DALLAS TX 75207-3118

CHARLES C HUNTER  
CATHERINE M HANNAN  
HUNTER COMMUNICATIONS LAW GROUP  
1620 I STREET NW STE 701  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

PETER ARTH JR  
WILLIAM N FOLEY  
MARY MACK ADU  
505 VAN NESS AVE  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102



INTERNATIONAL TRANSCRIPTION  
SERVICES INC  
1231 20TH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

COMPETITIVE PRICING DIVISION  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
1919 M STREET NW - RM 518  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

MAUREEN LEWIS  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
ALLIANCE FOR PUBLIC TECHNOLOGY  
901 15TH ST NW STE 230  
WASHINGTON DC 20038-7146

MARK C ROSENBLUM  
AVA B KLEINMAN  
AT&T  
295 NORTH MAPLE AVE  
RM 3252J1  
BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920

ROBERT B MCKENNA  
U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC  
1020 19TH ST NW STE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

FRANK MICHAEL PANEK  
AMERITECH  
2000 WEST AMERITECH CENTER DR  
ROOM 4H84  
HOFFMAN ESTATES IL 60196-1025

RONALD L PLESSER  
MARK J O CONNOR  
PIPER & MARBURY LLP  
COUNSEL FOR COMMERCIAL INTERNET  
EXCHANGE ASSOCIATION  
1200 NINETEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

ECONOMIC STRATEGY INSTITUTE  
1401 H ST NW STE 750  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

ANGELA LEDFORD  
KEEP AMERICA CONNECTED!  
P O BOX 27911  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

PETER A ROHRBACH  
LINDA L OLIVER  
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP  
COUNSEL FOR LCI INTERNATIONAL CORP  
555 THIRTEENTH ST NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004